From:

Derek Seekings

Sent:

15 December 2015 15:58

To:

Derek Seekings

Subject:

FW: J K Wines High Street Bagshot

----Original Message----

From: Mark Schmitz (full e-mail address redacted)

Sent: 26 November 2015 17:15

To: licensing

Cc:

Subject: J K Wines High Street Bagshot

Dear Sirs, I'm sending you this email as a character reference for Mr. Ravel Motizada. I have personally used these premises on a near daily basis and have found Raj (As he is known) as a very hard working conscientious person who I hold in high esteem.

I know him too for his honesty and trust. He keeps a very clean and orderly shop and works a 12 hour day.

It is unfortunate that he sold alcohol recently to two girls who stated that they were 18 years of age and neglected to ask for their identity cards.

I am sure that this was an oversight on his part and that he will make sure that a similar situation will not arise again.

I would be more than happy to personally vouch for Raj and would welcome any communication from me that you may deem necessary .

It is a pleasure to have this shop operating in the Village and know that he has a very good and strong reputation with his customers.

Thank you for reading this message.

Regards Mark Schmitz

(full address redacted) Bagshot, Surrey GU19

From:

Derek Seekings

Sent:

18 December 2015 08:16

To:

Derek Seekings

Subject:

FW: J K Wines High Street Bagshot

From: Mark Schmitz [mailto:address redacted by Licensing Authority]

Sent: 18 December 2015 07:20

To: Derek Seekings

Subject: Re: J K Wines High Street Bagshot

Hello Derek, thank you for your message and I am sorry I didn't expand on my interest to this case. The matter I wanted to address was: Risk of harm to children:

I know that Mr. Motizada is himself a family man and would never wish to cause harm to any child and therefore I was only going to act as a character reference if called upon to do so.

Kind regards Mark Sent from my iPad

From:

Derek Seekings

Sent:

15 December 2015 15:59

To:

Derek Seekings

Subject:

FW: Review of licence. JK Wines, Bagshot

----Original Message----

From: Colin Manley (e-mail address redacted)

Sent: 05 December 2015 01:09

To: licensing

Subject: Review of licence. JK Wines, Bagshot

I note the above item submitted and wish to speak in defence of the owner.

Yes, I note that he failed two test purchases. However the background material being used to justify removal of the licence is not an aspect due solely to the owner, it is a wider social problem.

To my knowledge there has never been any trouble arising from any sales from these premises. There have, however been occurrences adjacent to the Kings Arms by alcohol fuelled customers and no action has ever been taken.

The comparisons between the two could not be more diverse.

I would support a censure of some sort on the owner of JK Wines, but, in light of the factor explained above, ask that the licence is not revoked.

Yours faithfully Colin Manley

Sent from my iPad

From:

Derek Seekings

Sent:

15 December 2015 16:02

To:

Derek Seekings

Subject:

FW: Review of licence. JK Wines, Bagshot

From: Derek Seekings

Sent: 09 December 2015 10:18

To: Derek Seekings

Subject: FW: Review of licence. JK Wines, Bagshot

From: Colin Manley (e-mail address redacted)

Sent: 08 December 2015 11:49

To: licensing

Subject: Re: Review of licence. JK Wines, Bagshot

Hello Derek,

Thank you for your response.

My address is; (address redacted) Bagshot Surrey GU19

My partner operates a business almost directly opposite JK Wines and as a result, we are in casual eyesight of JK Wines each day.

The thrust of my representation is that there has been no crime or disorder, nor risk to public safety or public nuisance as a result of these 'sampling' breaches, whereas there have been cases of public safety and public nuisance breeches at another licensed premises in Bagshot High Street where people have been, and were, put at actual risk, not implied risk. Thus i feel the proposed action is harsh, given that no action has been taken elsewhere where the instances of actual risk have already occurred, especially when this breach arises from a sampling exercise, rather than any actual reported anti social, or other, behaviour. The instances in the other licensed establishment did not arise from alcohol purchased at JK Wines.

When i have been along the High Street, which is often, and at various times of day and night, I have never seen, or heard of any problems with JK Wines and certainly, when i am in my partner's shop, have never seen any behaviour by anyone that you could say was inappropriate.

In effect, there is no proven evidence of disorder or nuisance, just that of the sampling exercise and, given that there have been instances of disorder and nuisance at another licensed premises where no action has been taken, the proposed action is too harsh and almost vindictive.

Regards

Colin Manley